Semi-Latent Linear Models

Art B. Owen

Department of Statistics Stanford University

Based on joint work with: Stuart Kim and Jacob Zahn

Genomics of aging

In work with the Kim lab, which genes change expression:

1. as we age?

- 2. as worms, mice, flies, \cdots age?
- 3. as kidney, muscle, brain, \cdots age?

Microarray data

- Y_{ij} expression of gene j sample i
- A_i age of sample i

 $i = 1, \ldots, n$ $j = 1, \ldots, p$ $n \ll p$

NB: Here we're consumers of matrix algorithms

Many regressions

For gene j

$$\begin{split} Y_{ij} &= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}A_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \text{or,} \\ Y_{ij} &= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}A_i + \beta_{2j}S_i\varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \text{or,} \\ Y_{ij} &= \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}A_i + \beta_{2j}S_i + \beta_{3j}T_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \end{split}$$

where

 A_i = age, S_i = sex, T_i = tissue type etc.

Mainly interested in

$$\hat{\beta}_{1j}, \quad j=1,\ldots,p$$

Multivariate regression

 $Y \doteq X\beta$

- $Y \quad n \times p \quad \text{expression}$
- $X \quad n \times r$ per tissue predictors (1, age, sex, ...)
- β r imes p coefficients (2nd row for age coefs)

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y \qquad r \times p$$

Common questions:

- which genes are age related?
- how to adjust p values for multiple tests?
- how to adjust for correlated tests?
- which gene groups are age related?





Patient 95 is 81 years old . . . but looks younger Rodwell et al. (2005) P.L.O.S.

Mouse data

Courtesy of Kevin Becker, National Institute on Aging

 $p=8932 \ {\rm genes}$

n = 40 mice:

5 male and 5 female ages 1, 6, 16, 24 months

16 tissues:

Adrenal, Bone marrow, Cerebellum, ..., Spleen, Striatum, Thymus

"Genetic" age

Minimize

$$SS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (Y_{ij} - \beta_{0j} - \beta_{1j}A_i - \beta_{2j}S_i)^2$$

over β and A_1, \dots, A_n

Every mouse picks it's own 'age' A_i

Uses it for all 8932 genes

Results

Good news: p > 1 so model does not give SS = 0

Medium news: A_i need to be normalized $A_i\beta_{1j} = \frac{A_i}{2}(\beta_{1j} \times 2)$

Bad news: fitted A_i seem unrelated to age

Interpretation

 A_i pick out some dominant latent structure this need not be age

Therefore

Try

$$\beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}A_i + \beta_{2j}S_i + \beta_{3j}Z_i$$

for actual age A_i , latent Z_i

Model

 $Y \doteq X\beta + Z\gamma$

Y	$n \times p$	Response	n obs in \mathbb{R}^p
X	$n \times r$	Measured predictors	n obs in \mathbb{R}^r
eta	$r \times p$	Coefficients	
Z	$n \times s$	Latent predictors	n values in \mathbb{R}^s
γ	$s \times p$	Coefficients	

Minimize $\|Y - X\beta - Z\gamma\|_F$ over β , γ , Z

Rorschach model

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} \\ \beta \ \gamma \ Z \end{array} \quad \|Y - X\beta - Z\gamma\|_F \end{array}$$

Looks like:

Regression $||Y - X\beta||_F$ Factor analysis $||Y - Z\gamma||_F$ Golub Hoffman & Stewart (1987) Tukey's 1 df for interaction Structural equation models

Extends to:

 $\|Y - X\beta - Z\gamma - \delta W\|_F$

 $t \times p$ matrix W with t 'per gene' measurements

Published in:

Gabriel (1978) JRSS-B linear bi-linear

Special case: additive main effects plus multiplicative interaction

Fisher and Mackenzie (1923) J Ag Sci

popular in crop science to this day

Solution for β $\operatorname{Min} \|Y - X\beta - Z\gamma\|_F$ X full rank, soln still not unique As $Z \to Z + X\theta$ $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times s}$ and $\beta \rightarrow \beta - \theta \gamma$ $X\beta + Z\gamma \quad \text{ unchanged} \quad$ WLOG Z'X = 0

or else $Z \to Z - X(X'X)^{-1}X'Z$

Given $Z\gamma$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'(Y - Z\gamma) = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$



Minimize

$$\min \|Y - X\hat{\beta} - Z\gamma\|_F$$

over $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes s}$ $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{s imes p}$ subject to Z'X = 0

The unconstrained solution ...

Let
$$Y - X\hat{\beta} = U\Sigma V'$$
 (SVD)
 $Z = \text{first } s \text{ columns of } U$
 $\hat{\gamma} = \text{first } s \text{ rows of } \Sigma V'$

... satisfies the constraint

$$0 = (Y - X\hat{\beta})'X \implies U'X = 0 \implies Z'X = 0$$

Solution is not unique

 $\gamma \to A \gamma \quad \text{cancels} \quad Z \to Z A^{-1}$

Power iterations

WLOG Z'Z = I then Z unique up to rotation $Z \to ZQ$

Given Z:

$$\hat{\gamma} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'(Y - X\hat{\beta}) = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y$$

Given γ :

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{Z} &= (Y - X \hat{\beta}) \gamma' (\gamma \gamma')^{-1} \\ \widetilde{Z} &= Q R \quad \text{(QR decomp)} \\ \hat{Z} &= Q \end{split}$$

Notes

Iteration preserves Z'X = 0

Often faster than svd function

Some latent variables



Latent variable by tissue

Histograms of up to 40 mice

Latent variables



Latent variable by tissue

Three kinds of mice?

Latent variables of mice



Cerebellum

Stanford CA, June 2006

Outliers: not the same mouse



Stanford CA, June 2006

Latent var strongly influences some genes in Cerebellum





Coefficients of latent variables

But not in Cerebrum

Stanford CA, June 2006

Inference

Regression on Const, Age and Sex

 3×8932 parameters

Regression on Const, Age, Sex and 1 Latent

 $4 \times 8932 + 40$ parameters

Is it like adding
$$1 + \frac{40}{8932} \doteq 1.0045$$
 parameters per regression?

(no) mice are nearly independent but genes are strongly correlated

Permutation

Repeat many times:

Randomly permute ages of

 $20 \ \mathrm{male} \ \mathrm{mice}$

 $20\ {\rm female}\ {\rm mice}$

Recompute the model

Count significant genes

Tabulate

rationale:

The permutation world has no age related genes

yet preserves all the correlation structure among genes

Find that:

including a latent variable increases (true and) false discoveries

More aging genes

at nominal p = 0.001

Significant aging genes by tissue



Results at nominal p = 0.001

	Raw	Latent	$Perm \ge Raw$	$Perm \ge Latent$
Adrenal	20	200	0.075	0.048
Cerebellum	17	54	0.111	0.273
BoneMarrow	3	4	0.444	0.704
Cerebrum	8	330	0.190	0.219
Eye	256	356	0.000	0.001
Gonad	45	105	0.012	0.341
Heart	23	113	0.064	0.137
Hippocampus	2	9	0.576	0.554
Kidney	14	22	0.140	0.282
Liver	0	641	1.000	0.073
Lung	89	462	0.010	0.012
Muscle	8	143	0.179	0.232
Spleen	28	81	0.068	0.261
SpinalCord	82	231	0.007	0.127
Striatum	0	197	1.000	0.296
Thymus	346	1310	0.004	0.003

Stanford CA, June 2006

Number of genes picked



Stanford CA, June 2006

Next steps

Calibrate significance when latent variables present

Build in false discovery estimates

Thanks

Gene Golub and Lek-Heng Lim

Stuart Kim and Jacob Zahn

Patrick Perry, Jerome Friedman, Ingram Olkin, David Rogosa

Kevin Becker