## STATISTICS 313: STOCHASTIC PROCESSES II HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT 23 DUE THURSDAY MAY 30

**Problem 1. Random Walk on a Complete Graph.** The *complete graph* on *n* vertices, denoted by  $K_n$ , is the graph with vertex set  $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$  such that for every pair of vertices *i*, *j* there is an edge  $e(\{ij\})$  with endpoints *i* and *j*. Consider the electrical network on  $K_n$  in which every edge is assigned resistance 1. Calculate the effective resistance between vertices 1 and *n*.

**Problem 2.** Ehrenfest Random Walk. Calculate the escape probability  $p_{\text{escape}}(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{1})$  for the Ehrenfest random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_2^N$ , where **0** and **1** are the extreme corners of the hypercube, that is,

$$\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$$
 and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

HINT: Use the series, parallel, and shorting laws discussed in the notes.

**Problem 3. Shorting and Effective Resistance.** Let  $\{C_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}$  be a positive conductance function on a connected graph (or multi-graph)  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $s, t \in \mathcal{V}$  be two distinguished vertices, and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{V} - \{s, t\}$  be two vertices other than s, t. Consider the electrical network obtained by removing the vertices x, y and replacing them by a single vertex z, and then re-routing all the conducting edges in the original graph that went through x or y to z. Show that the effective resistance between s and t in the new network is no larger than the effective resistance between sand t in the original network.

**Problem 4. Bead Game.** This problem concerns the following stochastic process on a finite graph G with conductance function  $C_{xy}$ . At each vertex of G there is a bead, which is either black or white. At each (discrete) time n = 0, 1, ... an edge of the graph is chosen at random with probability  $C_{xy}/C$ , where C is the sum of the conductances in the network, and the beads at x and y are interchanged. When a bead reaches a it is immediately painted black, and when it reaches b it is immediately painted white.

(A) Let h(x) be the voltage at x when a unit voltage is imposed between a and b (i.e., a 1 volt battery is hooked up to a and b). Show that regardless of the initial configuration of black and white beads on the vertex set  $\mathcal{V}$  the system reaches a statistical equilibrium in which h(x) is the probability of a black bead occupies vertex x.

(B) Let *I* be the electrical current flow in the network when a unit voltage is imposed between *a* and *b*. Show that there is a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for every edge *xy*, the net flow of black beads across the edge *xy* is  $\gamma I_{xy}$ .

**Problem 5.** More Electrical Connections. Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible, reversible Markov chain on a finite state space  $\mathcal{X}$  with transition probability matrix  $\mathbb{P} = (p_{x,y})$  and stationary distribution  $\pi_x$ . Define  $C_{x,y} = \pi_x p_{x,y}$  to be the conductance function of the corresponding electrical network. Let s, t be two distinct vertices of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and set

$$T = \min\{n \ge 1 : X_n = t\}$$
 and  $\tau = \min\{n > T : X_n = s\}.$ 

(A) Define

$$G(s, y) = E^s \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{1}\{X_n = y\}$$

to be the expected number of visits to y before first hitting t. Show that

$$G(s, y) = \pi(y)v(y)R_{\text{eff}}(s; t)$$

where  $R_{\text{eff}}(s;t)$  is the effective resistance between s and t and v(x) is the voltage at x when the voltages at s and t are held at v(s) = 1 and v(t) = 0. HINT: Let  $f(y) = G(s, y)/\pi(y)$ . What kind of function is f(y)?

(B) For any two (distinct) neighboring vertices x, y, let  $S_{x,y}$  be the number of times that the Markov chain jumps from x to y before reaching the terminus t, that is,

$$S_{x,y} = \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{1} \{ X_n = x \text{ and } X_{n+1} = y \}$$

Show that

$$E^a S_{x,y} - E^a S_{y,x} = J_{x,y}$$

where J is the electrical current flow in the network when the voltages at s and t are held at

$$V(s) = R_{\text{eff}}(s;t)$$
 and  $V(t) = 0$ .

(C) *Loop-Erased Random Walk*. Consider the path  $X = \{X_n\}_{0 \le n \le T}$  of the Markov chain up until the time *T* when it first hits the terminal vertex *t*. In general, this path will make *loops*, that is, it will occasionally revisit a vertex *x* that it had visited earlier. Define *L* to be the path obtained from *X* by erasing all loops as they form. For instance, if

X = sabcadeqegkt then L = sadeqkt.

(i) Show that *L* visits any vertex *x* at most once, and consequently, crosses any edge at most once. (ii) Let  $\tilde{S}_{x,y}$  be the number of times that the loop-erased path *L* crosses from *x* to *y*. Show that  $E\tilde{S}_{x,y} = ES_{x,y}$ .

**Problem 6. Spanning Trees.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$  be a finite, connected graph. A *spanning tree* of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a connected subgraph  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}^*)$  with no cycles. (Note: The vertex set must be the same as that of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and the edge set  $\mathcal{E}^*$  must be a subset of the edge set  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ .) Spanning trees are gotten by removing edges from cycles one at a time until no cycles remain. There are, in general, many spanning trees of a connected graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(A) Show that if *T* is a spanning tree then for any two *distinct* vertices  $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$  there is a *unique* self-avoiding path in *T* from *x* to *y*.

(B) Assume now that there are two distinct vertices  $s, t \in \mathcal{V}$ , the *source* and the *terminus*. For any two vertices x, y that share an edge in  $\mathcal{G}$ , define N(s, x, y, t) to be the number of spanning trees T of  $\mathcal{G}$  in which the unique path from s to t crosses the edge from x to y (that is, the path must be of the form  $s, x_1, \ldots, x_j, x, y, \ldots, x_m, t$ ). Define

$$J(x,y) = N(s,x,y,t) - N(s,y,x,t).$$

Prove that J is a flow with source s and sink t. What is the size J(s+) of the flow? HINT: Write J as a sum  $J = \sum_T J^T$  where the sum is over all spanning trees T and  $J^T(x, y) = +1, -1$ , or 0

depending on whether the unique path in *T* from *s* to *t* crosses xy, or crosses yx, or doesn't cross in either direction. Show that each each  $J^T$  is a flow with source *s* and sink *t*.

(C) Prove that the flow J is acyclic, and conclude that it must be a gradient flow, that is, there is a function  $w : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that J(x, y) = w(x) - w(y). HINT: Use the fact that a spanning tree has no cycles.

(D) Let N be the total number of spanning trees of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and let w be the function obtained in (C). Define

$$u(x) = w(x)/N.$$

Show that u is the voltage function of the electrical network in which each edge of G has conductance 1 and the total current through s is 1.

**Problem 7. Helly's Selection Theorem.** Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence of (Borel) probability measures on the unit interval [0, 1]. *Helly's selection theorem* states that there is a subsequence  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$  that converges in distribution to some (Borel) probability measure  $\mu$  on [0, 1].

(A) Show that there is a subsequence  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k>1}$  such that for every  $m \ge 0$ 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} x^m \, d\mu_{n_k}(x) := \alpha_m$$

exists. HINT: Bolzano-Weierstrass plus Cantor's diagonal argument.

(B) Conclude that for every continuous function  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f(x) \, d\mu_{n_k}(x) := \Lambda(f)$$

exists. HINT: Weierstrass Approximation Theorem.

It requires a bit more machinery (e.g., either the Riesz Representation Theorem or the Caratheodory Extension Theorem) to prove rigorously that there is a Borel probability measure  $\lambda$  on [0, 1] such that  $\Lambda(f) = \int f d\lambda$  for every continuous function f. However, given the result of (B) it is not difficult to understand why this should be the case: for any interval [0, x] the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}$  can be very closely approximated by continuous functions, and so (B) shows how to figure out how much mass  $\lambda$  should assign to [0, x]. In other words, the convergence in (B) determines the c.d.f. of  $\lambda$ . The technical step (Caratheodory Extension Theorem) is to show that for any c.d.f. there is a matching probability measure  $\lambda$ .

**Problem 8.** Exchangeable Sequences. A sequence  $X_1, X_2, ...$  of random variables is said to be *exchangeable* if for every integer  $m \ge 1$  and every permutation  $\sigma$  of [m],

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} (X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(m)}).$$

Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  is an exchangeable sequence of *Bernoulli* random variables. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  and  $R_n = n - S_n$ .

(A) Prove that the sequence  $(R_n, S_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a Markov chain on  $\mathbb{Z}^2_+$ .

(B) Say that a path in the integer lattice is *admissible* if every step is either up one (i.e., add (0,1)) or one to the right (i.e., add (1,0)). Show that for any admissible path  $\gamma$  from (0,0) to (r,s), the probability that the first r + s steps of the Markov chain follow the path  $\gamma$  is

$$\frac{P\{S_n = s\}}{N(r,s)}$$

where N(r, s) is the number of admissible paths from (0, 0) to (r, s).

(C) Use the result of part (B) to write a simple expression for

$$P(X_1 = e_1, X_2 = e_2, \dots, X_k = e_k | S_n = m)$$

valid for any  $m \ge k$  and any sequence  $e_i$  of 0s and 1s.

(D) Let  $\mu_n$  be the distribution of  $S_n/n$ , that is, the probability measure on [0,1] that puts mass  $P\{S_n = k\}$  at the point k/n for every integer k = 0, 1, ..., n. By the Helly Selection Theorem there is a subsequence  $\mu_{n_k}$  that converges in distribution to a probability measure  $\mu$  on [0,1]. Use the result of (C) to show that

$$P\{X_1 = e_1, X_2 = e_2, \dots, X_k = e_k\} = \int_{[0,1]} \theta^{\sum_{j=1}^k e_j} (1-\theta)^{k-\sum_{j=1}^k e_j} d\mu(\theta).$$

The existence of such a representation is known as *de Finetti's Theorem*. What it asserts is that *every exchangeable sequence of Bernoulli random variables is a mixture of i.i.d. Bernoulli processes*. The probability measure  $\mu$  is the *mixing measure*. The de Finetti representation can be interpreted as a two step algorithm for simulating the sequence  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ : first, draw  $\Theta$  at random with distribution  $\mu$ ; then, given  $\Theta = \theta$ , generate i.i.d. Bernoulli  $\theta$  random variables.